Rehabilitation of Endodontically Treated Teeth Using the Radiopaque Fiber Post

Abstract: Metallic posts fail short of satisfying contemporary guidelines for ideal post/core rehabilitation. Along with technological improvements in adhesive resins, cements, and composite restoratives, the evolution of fiber-reinforced posts allows the rehabilitation of endodontically treated teeth with greater esthetics and virtually no predisposition to root fracture. At least one fiber post system now complies with all of the ideal post characteristics described in the endodontic text. This article describes the potential for displacement of metal posts by low-modulus fiber posts, the differences between them, and the development and placement of a radiopaque, translucent, double-tapered fiber post.

Post/core rehabilitation is an integral part of contemporary dental therapy, and like other aspects of restorative dentistry, has benefited from new materials and technologies adapted from other industries and medical disciplines. For nearly a century, the standard technique for restoration of badly broken down endodontically treated teeth consisted almost exclusively of either a cast metal post/core or a prefabricated metal post with an amalgam core buildup. Despite the eminent risk of galvanic response, corrosion, microleakage and, worst of all, root fracture, the use of metallic posts remained the standard of care (and dental education) into the 1990s, presumably for lack of viable alternatives. The last decade of the twentieth century witnessed the advent and adoption of reliable fourth and fifth generation adhesive bonding agents, as well as vast improvements in composite restoratives. Both of these advancements have improved clinicians' capabilities and confidence.

The eighth edition of Pathways of the Pulp proposes that the ideal dowel (post) should offer as many as possible of the following clinical features:

- Maximum protection of the root.
- Maximum retention of the core and crown.
- Maximum protection of the crown margin seal.
- Pleasing esthetics, when indicated.
- High radiographic visibility.
- Retrievability.
- Biocompatibility.

The Problem

There are some dichotomies inherent in the use of metallic posts. For example, parallel metal posts exhibit greater in vitro retention (using traditional cements) than tapered ones, but require more profound dentin removal apically (Figure 1). Tapered metal posts (including cast posts) can more closely approximate the taper of the prepared canal, while at the same time act as a wedge. This concentrates stresses inside the tooth and predis-
poses it to root fracture. Stainless steel is considered adequately strong (tensile) for the indication, but is also quite capable of corroding. Neither stainless steel, titanium, or casting alloys are esthetic (Figure 2), so their use should be limited to posterior/porcelain-fused-to-metal (PFM) treatment plans. Their high Young's modulus of elasticity (100 GPa to 200 GPa) is 5 to 10 times that of the dentin, which contributes to the transference of functional and traumatic stresses to the already-compromised tooth.

The Remedy
In the late 1980s, two practicing dentists in Grenoble, France, borrowing technology used in the automotive and aerospace industries, developed, patented, and commercialized an endodontic post constructed of fiber-reinforced composite. While the original version was not radiopaque or esthetic, it did fulfill five of the seven “ideal dowel characteristics” mentioned previously, including atraumatic removal in several minutes and biocompatibility. The protection (mechanical harmony) aspect was accomplished via the fiber-reinforced composite post, which possesses high strength and a modulus of elasticity similar to that of dentin: 18 GPa to 50 GPa (Figure 3). This, in turn, distributes stress in a completely different pattern from any metal, and more like natural tooth structure.

Continued Improvement
Encouraged by favorable results in comparative in vitro testing and clinical success (no root fractures, negligible decenterations), RTD/Bisco have provided a sequence of advanced models, including the radiopaque carbon fiber, followed by nonradiopaque esthetic posts. Other well-known companies have introduced their own brand of esthetic, but nonradiopaque fiber posts (Figure 4). The best known of these (ParaPost, White and FibreKor) are parallel posts which, like their metal counterparts, are often too narrow at the coronal end and too thick at

---

Figure 1—Traditional prefabricated metal posts.

Figure 2—Esthetics of metal vs white fiber post.

Figure 3—Elastic modulus of post materials.

Figure 4—Comparative radiopacity of fiber posts.
the apical end, thus requiring additional dentin removal.

Esthetic posts also vary in strength, fatigue resistance, and resistance to fracture in endodontically treated teeth from brand to brand. For example, Cerroni and colleagues determined that the esthetic RTD/Bisco post systems were superior to the FibreKor® post, while Akkayay and Gulmez proved the D.T. LIGHT-POST® resisted fracture better than the ParaPost® White or the zirconia Cosmopost. This may correlate to the inherent differences in their makeup; the type of fibers (quartz, glass, zirconia), the volume of fibers used (37% to 64%), the matrix material (epoxy, Bis-GMA), and the manufacturing processes.

The Latest Generation

Lambjerg-Hansen and Asmussen observed that dowels with a parallel coronal portion and a conical apical portion provide stability and address the tapered nature of the root canal. It was this paradigm that led Boudrias and Colleagues at the University of Montreal to investigate and develop parameters and specifications for a low-modulus post system that conforms to the typical root canal preparation, rather than adapting the canal to accommodate the typical post. To achieve this, they evaluated 967 extracted teeth that had been endodontically treated in clinical
training and continuing education courses at the university. Using digital radiography, they documented canal shape, width, diameter, and taper at 5 mm and 10 mm from the apex and at the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) (Figure 5). Dozens of prototype posts were made and inserted into 346 extracted teeth, which were then sectioned sagittally and longitudinally to examine fit and adaptation (Figure 6).

From this database, Sakkal and Boudrias were able to refine specifications for three sizes of posts that would conserve dentin at the apical end, provide high-performance “bulk” at the coronal end, and maintain intimate adaptation along the entire post length. Evaluation at the University of Montreal24,25 and other dental schools26 confirm this. The resulting product is available commercially as the double taper (D.T.) LIGHT-POST®. These posts necessarily have one taper in the apical 5 mm (2°), another taper (6° to 10°) in the next 5 mm, and a parallel (180°) coronal end (Figure 7). The post has been engineered to demonstrate the same desirable mechanical properties as its carbon-fiber predecessor, but also meets the last two remaining requirements of the “ideal dowel” criteria: radiographic visibility (Figures 8 and 9) and esthetics. The post is highly translucent (neutral color) and can be used as a fiber-optic light guide, to aid in the polymerization of adhesives and dual-cured cements deep inside the tooth.27,28

Clinical Placement Technique

The placement technique for the D.T. LIGHT-POST® is illustrated in Figures 10 through 25 on an extracted tooth for visual clarity. In clinical application, the usual isolation techniques are highly recommended. Use a radiograph to determine the appropriate size post.
Figure 16—Etch the canal and involved tooth structure for 15 seconds with UNI-ETCH® with BAC.

Figure 17—Rinse and remove excess water with a paper point. Do not desiccate.

Figure 18—Apply two coats of ONE-STEP® to the canal and involved coronal tooth structure.

Figure 19—Remove excess ONE-STEP® with a paper point. AIR-DRY to evaporate solvent.

Figure 20—Light-cure ONE-STEP® for 10 to 20 seconds.

Figure 21—Mix and syringe DUO-LINK™ dual-cure cement into the canal.
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